

On June 5, 2008, respondent moved this Court for an Order revoking or staying her suspension pending her appeal of Judge Baer’s sanctions Order to the Second Circuit. That motion was denied on July 2, 2008. On July 14, 2008, respondent again moved, this time for reconsideration, and for a stay pending review of that motion, or, in the alternative, for modification of the May 14 Order to allow respondent to continue representing a client in two cases pending before this Court (the “reconsideration motion”).

In reviewing the reconsideration motion, it came to the Court's attention that although the May 14 Order had noted respondent's suspension by the Southern District of New York, it mistakenly stated that the suspension was upon the terms and conditions set by "the Northern District of New York." The Court therefore issued an Amended Order correcting that error on July 15, 2008.

The Committee has now reviewed the motion for reconsideration, and that motion is denied. Respondent has failed to provide the requisite clear and convincing evidence why reciprocal removal should not be imposed, and has not otherwise satisfied the Committee that there is sufficient reason to defer or modify such reciprocal discipline.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
August 6, 2008

Signed electronically/Brian M. Cogan
U.S.D.J.

Chairman of the Committee on Grievances